REMARKS

A Declaration under 37 C.F.R. § 1.132 is attached to show that the material in the Tallam application was derived from the inventors Rhoads and Ketrenos in the application drafting process. Namely, a common specification was utilized that combined both separate inventions. However, different claims were provided in the two applications.

A Declaration by one other than the inventor to show attribution is allowed pursuant to M.P.E.P. § 716.10.

Reconsideration of the double patenting rejection is respectfully requested.

Claim 1 of the present application calls for partitioning a memory into a plurality of partitions each having a defined address and storing the defined address for one partition in another partition.

The recited claim of the Tallam patent has nothing to do with storing the defined address for one partition in another partition. For example, the recited claim calls for a first portion of memory storing a recovery operating system and instructions adapted to attain a new operating system "from outside said memory." Thus, this would not constitute defining the defined address for one partition in another partition.

Therefore, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Some of the claims were also rejected under Section 102 based on Bunnell. But Bunnell does not teach storing the defined address for one partition in another partition. In support of the contrary proposition, column 7, line 45 through column 8, line 61 are cited. But none of this material has any support for the claimed limitation. For example, the material at column 7, lines 41-52 talks about execution of an operating system and selected application program code segments directly from ROM, but this has nothing to do with storing the address for one partition in another partition.

Therefore, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 2, 2006

Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994 TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C. 1616 South Voss Road, Suite 750

Houston, TX 77057-2631 713/468-8880 [Phone] 713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation